

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,611	01/16/2004	Johann Karner	H60-107 DIV	8162
7590 11/12/2008 NOTARO & MICHALOS P.C.			EXAMINER	
Suite 110 100 Dutch Hill Road Orangeburg, NY 10962-2100			LUND, JEFFRIE ROBERT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/759.611 KARNER ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1792 Jeffrie R. Lund All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Jeffrie R. Lund. (2) Salvatore P. Spezio. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 07 November 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 20. Identification of prior art discussed: none. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed possible responses to the 112 1st paragraph rejections of the claims. Agreed that replacing the limitation "a DC plasma discharge" with the limitation "a plasma discharge" would overcome that 112 1st paragraph rejection based on the "a DC plasma discharge" limitation. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jeffrie R. Lund/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792
U.S. Patent and Todernah Office